LTIP GRAUT #2

## APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE Revised 4/99

IMPORTANT: Please consult the "Instructions for Completing the Project Application" for assistance in completion of this form.

SUBDIVISION: Hamilton C	County	CODE# <u>061</u> -	_00061		
DISTRICT NUMBER: 2_ (	COUNTY: Hamilton	DATE_09_/_	01_/_06		
CONTACT: Tim Gilday	PI	IONE # ( <u>513)</u>	946 - 8914		
(THE PROJECT CONTACT PERSON SHOULD BE TI AND SELECTION PROCESS AND WIIO CAN BEST A FAX (513) 946-8901 E-M	NSWER OR COORDINATE THE RESPON	(SE TO QUESTIONS)	ASISDURING THE APPLICATION	N REVIEW	
PROJECT NAME: WINTO	N ROAD IMPROVEM	IENT PHASE I	Ш		
(Check only 1) (Check only 1) (Check only 1)	FUNDING TYPE REQUES Check All Requested & Enter Amount) X.1. Grant \$.1,752,000.00. 2. Loan \$ 3. Loan Assistance \$	(Che _X2 3 4 5	ROJECT TYPE ack Largest Component) I. Road Bridge/Culvert Water Supply Wastewater Solid Waste Stormwater		
TOTAL PROJECT COST: \$ 1,825,000.00	FU	NDING REQUESTE	D: \$ <u>876,000.00</u>		
	DISTRICT RECOMME e completed by the District	Committee ONL		2006 SEP	OFFICE (
GRANT:\$ <i>876,000</i> SCIP LOAN: \$ RA RLP LOAN: \$ RA	LOAN ASSISTAN TE:% TERM: TE:% TERM:	CE:\$yrs. yrs.		13	IDERATION TO A STATE OF THE A STATE
Local Transportation Improvements Pr	Small Gov ogram	ernment Program		AM 10: 35	RLING 10
			nne meet voor moentee veregige eg veele meer	S K HOW IN SILL SILL	57.00 77.4.5
PROJECT NUMBER: C/C		PROVED FUND	DING: \$	<del></del> .	
Local Participation%  OPWC Participation%  Project Release Date://  OPWC Approval:	Lo Ma	an Term:	years / /	%	
		TP Loan			

1.0	PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION		FORCE L GGOVE
1.1	PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS: (Round to Nearest Dollar)	TOTAL DOLLARS	FORCE ACCOUNT DOLLARS
a.)	Basic Engineering Services:	\$	
	Preliminary Design       \$	) )	
	Additional Engineering Services *Identify services and costs below.	\$00_	
b.)	Acquisition Expenses: Land and/or Right-of-Way	\$ <u>.00</u>	
c.)	Construction Costs:	\$1,825,000.00	
d.)	Equipment Purchased Directly:	\$00	
e.)	Permits, Advertising, Legal: (Or Interest Costs for Loan Assistance Applications Only)	\$00	
f.)	Construction Contingencies:	\$	
g.)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS:	\$ <u>         1,825,000.00</u>	
*List Servi	Additional Engineering Services here: ce: Cost:		

1.2	PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES: (Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)		
		DOLLARS	%
a.)	Local In-Kind Contributions	\$	
b.)	Local Revenues	\$912,500.00	50
c.)	Other Public Revenues ODOT Rural Development	\$00 \$00	
	OEPA	\$\$ \$00	
	OWDA	\$00	
	CDBG	\$ <u>.00</u>	
	OTHER Springfield Twp.	\$36,50000	2
	SUBTOTAL LOCAL RESOURCES:\$	949,000.0052	
d.)	OPWC Funds		
•	1. Grant	\$ <u>876,000.00</u>	<u>48</u>
	2. Loan	\$0 <u>0</u>	
	3. Loan Assistance	\$00	
	SUBTOTAL OPWC RESOURCES:	\$ <u>876,000.00</u>	_48_
e.)	TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES:	\$1,825,000.00	100%
1.3	AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS:		
	Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief E</u> funds required for the project will be avanchedule section.		
	ODOT PID# Sale Description   STATUS: (Check one)  Traditional Local Planning Agency State Infrastructure Ba	(LPA)	

### 2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

If project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

### 2.1 PROJECT NAME: WINTON ROAD IMPROVEMENT PHASE III

### 2.2 BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections A through C):

### A: SPECIFIC LOCATION:

The project is located in Springfield Township. The construction limits are as follows:

**From** Reynard Avenue **to** Fleming Road (*Please see the attached location map*).

PROJECT ZIP CODE: 45231

### **B:** PROJECT COMPONENTS:

Widening of pavement from present 44 feet (except at north and south ends) to a uniform 57 feet, which will provide a five-lane pavement. Remove existing curb and a minimum of 2 ½' of existing deteriorated pavement along each side. Remove and replace sidewalks. Perform extensive full depth pavement replacement. Place structural overlay over existing portions of pavement. Intersections and bus stops will be constructed of concrete.

### C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS / CHARACTERISTICS:

Project length is 4,800 LF (0.9128 miles). The completed project will be a uniform 57 feet wide throughout and will be striped to provide a continuous left turn lane in the center.

### **D: DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:**

Detail current service capacity vs. proposed service level.

Road or Bridge: Current ADT: 30,000 Year: 2005 Projected ADT: \_ Year:

<u>Water/Wastewater:</u> Based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household, attach current rate ordinance. Current Residential Rate: \$\_\_\_\_\_\_ Proposed Rate: \$

Stormwater: Number of households served:

### 2.3 USEFUL LIFE / COST ESTIMATE: Project Useful Life: 30 Years.

Attach <u>Registered Professional Engineer's</u> statement, with <u>original seal and signature</u> confirming the project's useful life indicated above and estimated cost.

### 3.0 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION:

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT \$ 1,460,000,00

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT NEW/EXPANSION \$ 365,000.00 (20%)

### 4.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE: \*

		<b>BEGIN DATE</b>	END DATE
4.1	Engineering/Design:	11/30/02	01/31/05
4.2	Bid Advertisement and Award:	11/30/07	12/31/07
4.3	Construction:	02 / 15 / 08	12 / 31 / 09
4.4	Right-of-Way/Land Acquisition:	<u>06 / 15 / 07</u>	<u>11 / 30 / 07</u>

<sup>\*</sup> Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by the CEO of record and approved by the commission once the Project Agreement has been executed. The project schedule should be planned around receiving a Project Agreement on or about July 1st.

### 5.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION:

### 5.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE

OFFICER William W. Brayshaw Hamilton County Engineer TITLE 10480 Burlington Road **STREET** CITY/ZIP Cincinnati, OH 45231 (513) 946 - 8902 PHONE FAX (513) 946 - 8901

william.brayshaw@hamilton-co.org E-MAIL

### 5.2 CHIEF FINANCIAL

OFFICER **Dusty Rhodes** 

TITLE **Hamilton County Auditor** 138 East Court Street STREET

Room 304, CAB

Cincinnati, OH 45202 CITY/ZIP

(513) 946 - 4045 PHONE (513) 946 - 4043 FAX E-MAIL auditor@fuse.net

5.3 PROJECT MANAGER Timothy Gilday

> Planning & Design Engineer TITLE 10480 Burlington Road STREET Cincinnati, OH 45231 CITY/ZIP (513) 946 - 8914 PHONE (513) 946 - 8901 FAX

tim.gilday@hamilton-co.org E-MAIL

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from the CEO.

### 6.0 ATTACHMENTS/COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

Confirm in the blocks [ ] below that each item listed is attached.

- [X] A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
- [X] A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
- [X] A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's original seal or stamp and signature.
- [ ] A cooperation agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
- Projects which include new and expansion components <u>and</u> potentially affect productive farmland should include a statement evaluating the potential impact. If there is a potential impact, the Governor's Executive Order 98-VII and the OPWC Farmland Preservation Review Advisory apply.
- [X] Capital Improvements Report: (Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form)
- [X] Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements, which may be required by your *local* District Public Works Integrating Committee.

### 7.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION:

The undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement on this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding of the project.

William W. Brayshaw, P.E., P.S., Hamilton County Engineer

Certifying Representative (Type or Print Name and Title)

William W. Bransham 9-14-06 Signature/Date Signed

# County of Hamilton

### WILLIAM W. BRAYSHAW, P.E.-P.S. COUNTY ENGINEER

700 COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING 138 EAST COURT STREET CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202-1232 PHONE (513) 946-4250 FAX (513) 946-4288

# STATEMENT OF USEFUL LIFE

As required by Chapter 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code, I hereby certify that the Winton Road Improvement Phase III project will have a useful life of at least <u>30 years.</u>

### **CONSTRUCTION COSTS:**

The opinion of Project Construction Costs is based on current unit price experience and is subject to adjustment upon completion of detailed plans and receipt of an acceptable proposal by a qualified contractor.

WILLIAM W. BRAYSHAW, P.E., - P.S.

**HAMILTON COUNTY ENGINEER** 

# WINTON ROAD - PHASE 3 Reynard to Fleming

1	REF	ITEM DESCRIPTION	TIND	QΤΥ	UNIT COST	ITEM COST	
	201	CLEARING AND GRUBBING, AS PER PLAN	ST	-	\$18,000.00	\$9,000.00	Τ
2	202	WALK REMOVED	SF	33,000	\$1.25	\$20,625.00	<del></del>
3	202	PAVEMENT REMOVED (INCL. CURB)	SY	2,667	\$9.00	\$12,001.50	
4	202	PIPE REMOVED, 24" AND UNDER	1	250	\$12.00	\$1,500.00	
5	202	GUARD RAIL REMOVED	ഥ	26	\$8.00	\$104.00	
9	202	CATCH BASIN REMOVED	Ш	22	\$250.00	\$2,750.00	
7	202	REMOVAL MISC: FENCE REMOVED	<u> </u>	300	\$5.00	\$750.00	
8	202	REMOVAL MISC.: EX. HEAD WALL (FOR UP TO 30" PIPE)	EA	_	\$200.00	\$100.00	
6	202	REMOVAL MISC.: PRIVATE SIGN FOOTING	EA	8	\$225.00	\$900.00	
10	202	REMOVAL MISC.: EX. TRAFFIC SIGN AND POST	EA	35	\$5.00	\$87.50	
7	202	REMOVAL MISC.: WALL		135	\$30.00	\$2,025.00	
12	202	PLUG EXISTING PIPE	EA		\$100.00	\$350.00	
13	202	REMOVAL MISC: CONCRETE DRIVE	SY	160	\$10.00	\$800.00	
14	203	EXCAVATION, AS PER PLAN	ბ	4,200	\$12.00	\$25,200.00	
15	203	EMBANKMENT	ζ	700	\$10.00	\$3,500.00	
16	204	SUBGRADE COMPACTION	λS	7,500	\$1.50	\$5,625.00	
17	204	PROOF ROLLING	HR	50	\$100.00	\$2,500.00	
18	252	FULL DEPTH PAVEMENT REPAIR	λS	3,750	\$150.00	\$281,250.00	
19	254	PAVEMENT PLANING, ASPHALT CONCRETE	SY	30,000	\$2.00	\$30,000.00	
20	302	ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE	ζ	1,700	\$115.00	\$97,750.00	
21	302	ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE (FOR DRIVEWAYS)	ζ	100	\$115.00	\$5,750.00	
22	302	ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE FOR DRIVEWAY MAINTENANCE	ζ	120	\$115.00	\$6,900.00	
23	304	GRANULAR MATERIAL FOR SUBGRADE REPAIR	ζ	150	\$35.00	\$2,625.00	
24	448	ASPH CONC, SURFACE COURSE, TYPE 1H (1.5") (DRIVEWAYS)	ζ	22	\$115.00	\$1,265.00	
25	448	ASPHALT CONCRETE, SURFACE COURSE, TYPE 1H (1.5")	λ	1,350	\$115.00	\$77,625.00	
26	448	ASPHALT CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE II, PG (64-28)	ζ	2,950	\$115.00	\$169,625.00	

# WINTON ROAD - PHASE 3 Reynard to Fleming

							г-
ITEM NO.	REF	ITEM DESCRIPTION	TIND	QTY	UNIT COST	ITEM COST	
	452	CONCRETE DRIVE REPLACEMENT	\S\	750	\$43.00	\$16,125.00	
	452	12" CONCRETE FOR BUS STOPS	λS	1,200	\$70.00	\$42,000.00	
	452	12" CONCRETE FOR THE INTERSECTION AREAS	λS	5,000	\$70.00	\$175,000.00	
	603	12" TO 24" CONDUIT	<b>4</b>	700	\$65.00	\$22,750.00	
	603	FARM DRAINS / ROOF DRANS	<u></u>	250	\$8.00	\$1,000.00	
	604	MANHOLE, NO. 3	EA		\$2,500.00	\$1,250.00	
	604	STORM MANHOLE ADJUSTED TO GRADE	EA	17	\$200.00	\$1,700.00	
	604	SANITARY MANHOLE ADJUSTED TO GRADE	EA	15	\$200.00	\$1,500.00	
	604	STORM MANHOLE RECONSTRUCTECD TO GRADE	EA	16	\$500.00	\$4,000.00	
	604	SANITARY MANHOLE RECONSTRUCTECD TO GRADE	EA	9	\$500.00	\$1,500.00	
	604	CATCH BASIN, NO. 3	EA	20	\$1,500.00	\$15,000.00	
	604	CATCH BASIN, NO. 3A	EA	4	\$1,500.00	\$3,000.00	
	604	CATCH BASIN, NO.2-2-B	EA	5	\$1,000.00	\$2,500.00	
	604	CATCH BASIN ADJUSTED TO GRADE	EA	7	\$200.00	\$700.00	
	604	CATCH BASIN RECONSTRUCTECD TO GRADE	EA	2	\$500.00	\$500.00	
	604	HEADWALL, HW-4A FOR 15" PIPE	EA	-	\$1,000.00	\$500.00	
	604	VALVE CHAMBER RECONSTRUCTED TO GRADE	EA	3	\$500.00	\$750.00	
	604	SANITARY MANHOLE RECONSTRUCTED TO GRADE - HD	EA	ဗ	\$500.00	\$750.00	
	605	UNCLASSIFIED PIPE UNDERDRAIN, 707.15 (6")	LF	250	\$10.00	\$1,250.00	
	909	GUARDRAIL, TYPE 5 WITH REFLECTORS	LF	30	\$20.00	\$300.00	
	607	FENCE, TYPE CL	LF	750	\$20.00	\$7,500.00	
	809	CONCRETE WALK (5" THICK)	SF	42,500	\$3.00	\$63,750.00	
	452	CONCRETE DRIVES (7" - DRIVES)	SF	18,000	\$5.00	\$45,000.00	
	809	CURB RAMP TYPE 1 FOR FORMING ONLY	EA	12	\$200.00	\$1,200.00	
	809	CURB RAMP TYPE 2 FOR FORMING ONLY	EA	2	\$100.00	\$100.00	
	609	CURB, TYPE 6	LF	9,500	\$15.00	\$71,250.00	
•							

# WINTON ROAD - PHASE 3 Reynard to Fleming

		The second secon					
ITEM NO.	REF	ITEM DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	UNIT COST	ITEM COST	
53	614	MAINTAINING TRAFFIC	FS	-	\$149,184.00	\$74,592.00	_
54	615	PAVEMENT FOR MAINTAINING TRAFFIC, CLASS B	SY	009	\$25.00	\$7,500.00	
55	619	FIELD OFFICE, TYPE A	S	-	\$10,000.00	\$5,000.00	
56	623	CONSTRUCTION LAYOUT	S7	-	\$25,000.00	\$12,500.00	
22	632	SIGNAL WORK	ST		\$300,000.00	\$150,000.00	
58	641	PAVEMENT MARKING & SIGNAGE	rs Ls	•	\$20,000.00	\$10,000.00	
59	644	EDGE LINES	M	3.5	\$1,200.00	\$2,100.00	
90	644	CENTER LINES	M	2.2	\$1,500.00	\$1,650.00	
61	644	STOP LINES	ഥ	400	\$1.50	\$300.00	
62	644	LANE LINE	≅	4	\$1,200.00	\$2,400.00	
63	644	LANE ARROWS	EA	40	\$150.00	\$3,000.00	
64	653	TOPSOIL FURNISHED AND PLACED	ζ	1,450	\$35.00	\$25,375.00	
65	629	SEEDING & MULCHING	λS	17,500	\$4.00	\$35,000.00	
99	SPL	SANITARY CONNECTION	4	100	\$12.00	\$600.00	
67	SPL	WATER WORKS	rs	+	\$175,000.00	\$87,500.00	
68	SPL	SPL CONTINGENCIES	rs	-	\$350,000.00	\$175,000.00	

TOTAL

\$1,825,000.00

# County of Hamilton

### WILLIAM W. BRAYSHAW, P.E.-P.S. COUNTY ENGINEER

700 COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
138 FAST COURT STREET
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202-1232
PHONE (513) 946-4250
FAX (513) 946-4288

September 15, 2006

# STATUS OF FUNDS REPORT

Project: WINTON ROAD IMPROVEMENT PHASE III - Reynard to Fleming

This is to certify that the sum of \$912,500.00 is available as the local matching funds in connection with the application for State Capital Improvement Program Funds for the above-mentioned project.

The source of the local match will be Road and Bridge Funds. Local matching funds will be encumbered and certified upon completion of the Project Agreement with the Ohio Public Works Commission.

Chief Financial Officer:

Dusty Rhodes, Auditor Hamilton County



# HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO Founded 1795

### **ADMINISTRATION**

9150 WINTON ROAD CINCINNATI, OHIO 45231 **Phone (513) 522-1410 Fax (513) 729-0818** www.springfieldtwp.org

Trustee
Tom Bryan

Trustee Joseph Honerlaw

Trustee
Gwen McFarlin

Fiscal Officer John Waksmundski

Township Administrator **Michael T. Hinnenkamp** 

Law Director

Laura A. Abrams

Police Chief

David J. Heimpold

Recreation Director Melanie McNulty

Service Director **John B. Musselman** 

Development Services Director Christopher D. Gilbert

Fire Chief Robert Leininger

Senior/Community Services Director Sally Scigliulo

### STATUS OF FUNDS REPORT

### WINTON ROAD IMPROVEMENT PHASE III

This is to certify that the sum of \$36,500.00 is available as the local matching funds in connection with the application for State Capital Improvement Program Funds for the above-mentioned project.

The source of the local match will be Springfield Township Funds. Local matching funds will be encumbered and certified upon completion of the Project Agreement with the Ohio Public Works Commission.

SPRINGFIELD TOWNSHIP

Chief Financial Officer:

John Waksmunds

Date: September 25, 2006

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ENGINEER TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION (OPWC) STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND/OR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED.

### BY THE BOARD:

WHEREAS, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, the County of Hamilton, State of Ohio, is planning to make capital improvements Blue Rock Road, Dry Fork Road, Galbraith Road, Kenwood Road, Loveland Madeira Road, Miles Road, Rapid Run Road, Remington Road, Winton Road and Sewer No.5787 and "550-700 Storage and Treatment Facility; and

WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the OPWC programs.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Hamilton County, State of Ohio as follows:

### SECTION I

The Hamilton County Engineer, William W. Brayshaw, P.E.-P.S., is hereby authorized to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above.

### SECTION II

The Hamilton County Engineer, William W. Brayshaw, P.E.-P.S., is further authorized to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

### SECTION III

It is found and determined that all formal action of this Board of Hamilton County Commissioners concerning or related to the adoption of this resolution were adopted in an open meeting of this Board of Hamilton County Commissioners and all deliberations of this Board of Hamilton County Commissioners and any of its committees, if any, that resulted in such formal actions were adopted in meetings open to the public, in compliance with all applicable legal requirements of the Ohio Revised Code.

This resolution shall be in full force and effect from and immediately after its adoption.

BE IT RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board be, and she is hereby authorized and directed to certify a copy of this Resolution to the County Engineer, County Auditor, County Recorder and Hamilton County Regional Planning Commission.

ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Board of County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio this 20<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2006.

Mr. DeWine, AYE

Mr. Heimlich, ABSENT EXCUSED

Mr. Portune, AYE

SEP 2 0 2006

## CERTIFICATE OF CLERK

VOL 703 SEP 2 0 2006 IMAGE // 7 5 8

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of a Resolution adopted by this Board of County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio, this 20<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2006.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Official Seal of the Office of the County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio, this 20<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2006.

Jacquetine Panioto, County Clerk Board of County Commissioners Hamilton County, Ohio



# County of Hamilton

# WILLIAM W. BRAYSHAW, P.E.-P.S. COUNTY ENGINEER

700 COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING 138 EAST COURT STREET

CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202-1232 PHONE (513) 946-1250 FAX (513) 946-1288

# **CERTIFICATION OF TRAFFIC COUNT**

As required by the District 2 Integrating Committee, I hereby certify that the traffic counts herein attached to the <u>WINTON ROAD IMPROVEMENT PHASE III</u> project application are a true and accurate count done by the Hamilton County Engineer's Office, Traffic Division.

William W- Brancher WILLIAM W. BRAYSHAW P.E.- P.S. HAMILTON COUNTY ENGINEER

# ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For Program Year 2006 (July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007), jurisdictions shall provide the following support information to help determine which projects will be funded. Information on this form must be accurate, and where called for, based on sound engineering principles. Documentation to substantiate the individual items, as noted, is required. The applicant should also use the rating system and its' addendum as a guide. The examples listed in this addendum are not a complete list, but only a small sampling of situations that may be relevant to a given project.

IF YOU ARE APPLYING FOR A GRANT, WILL YOU BE WILLING TO ACCEPT A LOAN IF ASKED BY THE DISTRICT? \_\_\_X\_YES \_\_\_\_NO (ANSWER REQUIRED) Note: Answering "Yes" will not increase your score and answering "NO" will not decrease your score.

1) What is the physical condition of the existing infrastructure that is to be replaced or repaired? Give a statement of the nature of the deficient conditions of the present facility exclusive of capacity, serviceability, health and/or safety issues. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded. Use documentation (if possible) to support your statement. Documentation may include (but is not limited to): ODOT BR86 reports, pavement management condition reports, televised underground system reports, age inventory reports, maintenance records, etc., and will only be considered if included in the original application. Examples of deficiencies include: structural condition; substandard design elements such as widths, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, etc.

Winton Road was widened on both sides, from a two-lane to a four-lane road, over forty years ago. In the intervening time, it has been subjected to heavy use, both from heavy loads and heavy volumes. This has resulted in extensive areas of base failure and continual maintenance activity, including grinding, partial resurfacing and micro sealing. In addition numerous utility cuts, both lateral and longitudinal, have been made over the years resulting in pavement distress (settlement, separation, rutting and shoving) in the surface. A total of 3,750 SY (15.5% - see attached sheets) of full depth pavement removal/replacement will be required to correct deteriorated existing pavement. A structural overlay involving 3 1/2" (minimum) of asphaltic intermediate course and 1 1/2" of asphaltic concrete surface course is necessary over the existing portion of the pavement to bring the pavement up to sufficient load bearing capability. The curbs on both sides are severely disintegrated and have been repeatedly patched. Complete curb replacement is necessary. Please note that the County Engineers Pavement Management System (PCI) rated the pavement as a "29". The attached documentation and PCI scale sheet shows 29 as in the poor to very poor range. Please see the attached Pavement Cores report. It very clearly shows the concrete as disintegrating. The pavement is in very poor condition. As shown in the attached photos, roadway drainage is very poor, forcing motorists to navigate through large puddles and swales. Drainage problems have also led to the poor condition of the pavement, especially in winter when freezing occurs.

 $V = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

2) How important is the project to the safety of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area? Give a statement of the projects effect on the safety of the service area. The design of the project is intended to reduce existing accident rate, promote safer conditions, and reduce the danger of risk, liability or injury. (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, and highway capacity.) Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

The completed project will provide a significantly safer facility resulting from the inclusion of a continuous left turn lane and (the separation of the turns from the through traffic). The likelihood of "rear-end" accidents thus will be significantly reduced. The improved (increased) roadway crown and the elimination of ruts and "shoved" areas at bus stops and at intersections will expedite surface run off, eliminating standing water and thus lessen the potential for icing. During the three-year period 2002 thru 2004 there were 236-recorded vehicular accidents within the limits of the project. This does not include those related to animals, running red lights, ice/snow, backing, running off the road and failure to control the vehicle. There were 34 accidents involving injuries. Please see included "Traffic Accident Analysis" prepared by the Hamilton County Engineer's Traffic Department. The addition of left turn lanes improves the safety and efficiency of traffic flow between the two Compton Road intersections. The latest 3-

year average accident data indicates the mid-block link between Compton (west) and Compton (east) now has an accident rate that is over 4.5 times the noted state average. 15 Injury type accidents occurred during the 3-year period.

3) How important is the project to the health of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area? Give a statement of the projects effect on the health of the service area. The design of the project will improve the overall condition of the facility so as to reduce or eliminate potential for disease, or correct concerns regarding the environmental health of the area. (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project by improving or adding storm drainage or sanitary facilities, replacing lead jointed water lines, etc.). Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

There are no significant health issues involved with this project.

# 4) Does the project help meet the infrastructure repair and replacement needs of the applying jurisdiction?

The jurisdiction must submit a listing in priority order of the projects for which it is applying. Points will be awarded on the basis of most to least importance.

Priority 1 Winton Road Improvements Phase III

Priority 2 East Kemper Road Improvement

Priority 3 Remington Road/Loveland Madeira Road Intersection Improvement

Priority 4 Winton Road Improvements Phase I

Priority 5 Winton Road Improvements Phase II

5)	To what e	extent will	the user fe	e funded a	gency be pa	articipating	in the fund	ling of the	project?	
(exa	ample: rate	s for water	r or sewer,	frontage a	ssessment	s, etc.)				
							<del></del>	<u></u>		

## 6) Economic Growth - How will the completed project enhance economic growth

Give a statement of the projects effect on the economic growth of the service area (be specific).

Within this section of Winton Road are several retail/office locations that are vacant or underused. The added left turn lanes of this project will permit easier access to these sites and encourage/permit economic growth.

### 7) Matching Funds - LOCAL

The information regarding local matching funds is to be filed by the applicant in Section 1.2 (b) of the Ohio Public Works Association's "Application For Financial Assistance" form.

### 8) Matching Funds - OTHER

The information regarding local matching funds is to be filed by the applicant in Section 1.2 (c) of the Ohio Public Works Association's "Application For Financial Assistance" form. If MRF funds are being used for matching funds, the MRF application must have been filed by August 6 of this year for this project with the Hamilton County Engineer's Office. List below, the source(s) of all "other" funding.

Springfield Township (See attached letter)

	Will the project alleviate serious capacity problems or of the district?						eds
Desc	ribe how the proposed project will alleviate serious capa	acity pro	blems or	hazards	(be speci	fic).	
Inat Rea With	ording to a Corridor Study (attached), an analy movement during peak hours has significant gan Highway. The increase in movement is nout the recommended changes, the Level of improvements, an acceptable LOS can be act	tly incre approx f_Servic	eased s kimately ce at int	ince the since t	ne openi ver the ina stree	ing of the Rona past three yea ets will fail W	ald rs
For r metho Manu	oadway betterment projects, provide the existing and prodology outlined within AASHTO'S "Geometric Design of al.	roposed l of Highw	Level of ays and S	Service Streets" a	(LOS) of and the 19	the facility using 85 Highway Capac	the ity
Exist	ing LOS F Proposed LOS _	_A	_				
If the	proposed design year LOS is not "C" or better, explain w	hy LOS '	'C" canno	ot be ach	iieved.		
				,			_
10) I	f SCIP/LTIP funds are granted, when would the const	ruction	contract	be awai	ded?		
or the	IP/LTIP funds are awarded, how soon after receiving the part of the part of previous projects to help judge the accuracy of	project be	e under c	ontract?	The Supr	oort Staff will review	/ 1 ew
Numb	er of months6						
a.) Ar	e preliminary plans or engineering completed?	Yes	X	_ No _		N/A	_
b.) Ar	e detailed construction plans completed?	Yes	X	_ No _		N/A	_
c.) Are	e all utility coordination's completed?	Yes		_ No	X	N/A	_
d.) Ar	e all right-of-way and easements acquired (if applicable)?	Yes		_ No _	_X	_ N/A	_
	If no, how many parcels needed for project?	_ Of thes	e, how m	any are:	Takes		
					Temporar	У	_
	For any parcels not yet acquired, explain the status of the	La DOU				nt	_
	For any parcels not yet acquired, explain the status of the	ne ROW	acquisin	on proce	ss for this	project.	
	Once funding is secured, Hamilton County will pro-	ursue th	e establ	ishmen	t of the p	roject that permi	<u>ts</u>
	appropriation to acquire the needed parcels if ne						
	and R/W agents will meet with owners. If negotia	ations a	re not su	ıccessfi	ul, a cour	t case will be file	:d
	and the property acquired by appropriation.						
e.) Giv	e an estimate of time needed to complete any item above i	not yet co	ompleted.	·	12	months.	

11) Does the infrastructure have regional impact?
Give a brief statement concerning the regional significance of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.
Winton Road is a major north-south highway extending from the industrial area of Spring Grove Avenue in Cincinnati to Gilmore Road and beyond in Butler County in the north. Winton Road connects with major east-west roads including North Bend, Galbraith, Compton, Fleming, Sharon and Kemper Roads. In addition it is a direct connection to Ronald Reagan Highway (SR 126) and Interstate 275.
12) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?
The District 2 Integrating Committee predetermines the jurisdiction's economic health. The economic health of a jurisdiction may periodically be adjusted when census and other budgetary data are updated.
13) Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a partial or complete ban of the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure?
Describe what formal action has been taken which resulted in a ban of the use of or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of building permits, etc. The ban must have been caused by a structural or operational problem to be considered valid. Submission of a copy of the approved legislation would be helpful.  NO BAN
Will the ban be removed after the project is completed?YesNoN/AX
14) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project?
For roads and bridges, multiply current Average Daily Traffic (ADT) by 1.20. For inclusion of public transit, submit documentation substantiating the count. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to the restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by 4. User information must be documented and certified by a professional engineer or the jurisdictions' C.E.O.
Traffic: ADT $30,000 \text{ X } 1.20 = 36,000 \text{ Users}$
Water/Sewer: Homes $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ X 4.00 = $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ Users
15) Has the jurisdiction enacted the optional \$5 license plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a user fee, or dedicated tax for the pertinent infrastructure?
The applying jurisdiction shall list what type of fees, levies or taxes they have dedicated toward the type of infrastructure being applied for.

Optional \$5.00 License Tax X

Infrastructure Levy

Facility Users Fee

Other Fee, Levy or Tax

Dedicated Tax

 $\triangle \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}$ 

Specify type \_\_\_\_\_\_ Specify type \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Specify type \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Specify type \_\_\_\_\_

# SCIP/LTIP PROGRAM ROUND 21 - PROGRAM YEAR 2007 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA JULY 1, 2007 TO JUNE 30, 2008

NAME OF APPLICANT: HAMILTON COUNTY				
NAME OF PROJECT: WINTON ROAD IMPROV PH. III				
RATING TEAM:/				

# General Statement for Rating Criteria

Points awarded for all items will be based on engineering experience, field verification, application information and other information supplied by the applying agency, which is deemed to be relevant by the Support Staff. The examples listed in this addendum are not a complete list, but only a small sampling of situations that may be relevant to a given project.

Appeal Score

### CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE RATING

What is the physical condition of the existing infrastructure that is to be replaced or repaired?

25 - Failed

1)

23 - Critical

20 - Very Poor

17 - Poor

15\_- Moderately Poor

10 Moderately Fair 5 - Fair Condition

0 - Good or Better

### Criterion 1 - Condition

Condition of the particular infrastructure to be repaired, reconstructed or replaced shall be a measure of the degree of reduction in condition from its original state. Capacity, serviceability, safety and health shall not be considered in this criterion. Any documentation the Applicant wishes to be considered must be included in the application package.

### Definitions:

Failed Condition -requires complete reconstruction where no part of the existing facility is salvageable. (E.g. Roads: complete reconstruction of roadway, curbs and base; Bridges: complete removal and replacement of bridge; Underground: removal and replacement of an underground drainage or water system.

Critical Condition - requires partial reconstruction to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: reconstruction of roadway/curbs can be saved; Bridges: removal and replacement of bridge with abutment modification; Underground: removal and replacement of part of an underground drainage or water system.

Very Poor Condition - requires extensive rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: extensive full depth, partial depth and curb repair of a roadway with a structural overlay; Bridges: superstructure replacement; Underground: repair of joints and/or replacement of pipe sections.

Poor Condition - requires standard rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: moderate full depth, partial depth and curb repair to a roadway with no structural overlay needed or structural overlay with minor repairs to a roadway needed; Bridges: extensive patching of substructure and replacement of deck; Underground: insituform or other in ground repairs.

Moderately Poor Condition - requires minor rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: minor full depth, partial depth or curb repairs to a roadway with either a thin overlay or no overlay needed; Bridges: major structural patching and/or major deck repair.

Moderately Fair Condition - requires extensive maintenance to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: thin or no overlay with extensive crack sealing, minor partial depth and/or slurry or rejuvenation; Bridges: minor structural patching, deck repair, erosion control.)

Fair Condition - requires routine maintenance to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: slurry seal, rejuvenation or routine crack sealing to the roadway; Bridges: minor structural patching.)

Good or Better Condition - little to no maintenance required to maintain integrity.

Note: If the infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it will NOT be considered for SCIP/LTIP funding unless it is an expansion project that will improve serviceability.

-1-

	25 - Highly significant importance	Appeal Score
	20- Considerably significant importance	
	15 - Moderate importance	<del></del>
	10 - Minimal importance 5 — Poorly documented importance	
	0 - No measurable impact	
	Criterion 2 – Safety	
	The applying agency shall include in its application the type, frequency, and severity of the sal how the intended project would improve the situation. For example, have there been vehicular cited? Have they involved injuries or fatalities? In the case of water systems, are existing hy water lines, is the present capacity inadequate to provide volumes or pressure for adequate for documentation is required. Mentioned problems, which are poorly documented, shall not reconstructed.	accidents attributable to the problems drants non-functional? In the case of the protection? In all cases, specific
	Note: Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this cat are NOT intended to be exclusive.	egory apply. Examples given above
<b>3</b> )	How important is the project to the <u>health</u> of the Public and the citizens of the District and/	or service area?
	25 - Highly significant importance	Appeal Score
	20 - Considerably significant importance	**
	15 - Moderate importance	***************************************
	10 - Minimal importance	
	5 - Poorly documented importance	
	0) No measurable impact	
	Criterion 3 – Health	
	The applying agency shall include in its application the type, frequency, and severity of the hea or reduced by the intended project. For example, can the problem be eliminated only by the project satisfactory? If basement flooding has occurred, was it storm water or sanitary flow? What co case of underground improvements, how will they improve health if they are storm sewers? Example improve health or reduce health risk? In all cases, quantified documentation is required. My documented, shall not receive more than 5 points.	ect, or would routine maintenance be implaints if any are recorded? In the low would improved sanitary sewers
	<i>Note:</i> Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this categorare NOT intended to be exclusive.	ory apply. Examples given above
)	Does the project help meet the infrastructure repair and replacement needs of the applying Note: Applying agency's priority listing (part of the Additional Support Information) must be filed wi	
	(25) First priority project	Appeal Score
	20 - Second priority project	
	15 -Third priority project	
	10 - Fourth priority project	
	5 - Fifth priority project or lower	
	Criterion 4 – Jurisdiction's Priority Listing	

basis of most to least importance. The form is included in the Additional Support Information.

The applying agency must submit a listing in priority order of the projects for which it is applying. Points will be awarded on the

-2-

To what extent will a user fee funded agency be participating	in the funding of the project?
(10)— Less than 10%	
9 – 10% to 19.99%	
8 – 20% to 29.99%	Appeal Score
7 – 30% to 39.99%	
6 – 40% to 49.99%	
5 – 50% to 59.99%	
4 – 60% to 69.99%	
3 – 70% to 79.99%	
2 – 80% to 89.99%	
1 – 90% to 95%	
0 – Above 95%	
Criterion 5 – User Fee-funded Agency Participation	
To what extent will a user fee funded agency be participating in the fun	
frontage assessments, etc.). The applying agency must submit documer	ntation.
Economic Growth - How the completed project will enhance econo	mic growth (See definitions).
10 – The project will directly secure new employment	Appeal Score
5 – The project will permit more development	ppont.scote
(0) The project will not impact development	
The project vim not implied development	
Criterion 6 – Economic Growth	
Will the completed project enhance economic growth and/or development	ent in the service area?
Definitions:	
Secure new employment: The project as designed will secure develop	
employees to the jurisdiction. The applying agency must submit details	
Permit more development: The project as designed will permit addition	onal business development/employment. The applying agency
must supply details.	
The project will not impact development: The project will have no in	npact on business development.
Note: Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determin	ne if any aspects of this category apply.
Matching Funds - LOCAL	
10 - This project is a loan or credit enhancement	
(19) 50% or higher	
	f "Local" funds %
6 – 30% to 39.99%	Documentation
4 – 20% to 29.99%	
2 – 10% to 19.99%	
0 – Less than 10%	
0 - Dess than 10 /0	
Criterion 7 - Matching Funds - Local	
The percentage of matching funds which come directly from the budget	t of the applying agency. Ten points shall be awarded if a loar

5)

6)

The percentage of matching funds which come directly from the budget of the applying agency. Ten points shall be awarded if a loan request is at least 50% of the total project cost. (If the applying agency is not a user fee funded agency, any funds to be provided by a user fee generating agency will be considered "Matching Funds – Other")

Matching Funds - OTHER	List total percentage of "Other" funds%
10 – 50% or higher	List below each funding source and percentage
8 – 40% to 49.99%	SPRINGFIELD TUD. 2 %
6 – 30% to 39.99%	
4 – 20% to 29.99%	
2 10% to 19.99%	
1 1% to 9.99%	<u> </u>
0 - Less than 1%	

### Criterion 8 - Matching Funds - Other

The percentage of matching funds that come from funding sources other than those mentioned in Criterion 7. A letter from the outside funding agency stating their financial participation in the project and the amount of funding is required to receive points. For MRF, a copy of the current application form filed with the Hamilton County Engineer's Office meets the requirement.

Will the project alleviate serious capacity problems or hazards or respond to the future level of service needs of the district?

10) Project design is for future demand.	Appeal Score
8 - Project design is for partial future demand.	11
6 - Project design is for current demand.	
4 - Project design is for minimal increase in capacity.	
2 - Project design is for no increase in capacity.	

# Criterion 9 – Alleviate Capacity Problems

The applying agency shall provide a narrative, along with pertinent support documentation, which describe the existing deficiencies and showing how congestion will be reduced or eliminated and how service will be improved to meet the needs of any expected growth or development. A formal capacity analysis accompanying the application would be beneficial. Projected traffic or demand should be calculated as follows:

### Formula:

Existing users x design year factor = projected users

<u>Design Year</u>	Design year factor		
	Urban	Suburban	Rural
20	1.40	1.70	1.60
10	1.20	1.35	1.30

### **Definitions:**

Future demand - Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service for twentyyear projected demand or fully developed area conditions. Justification must be supplied if the area is already largely developed or undevelopable and thus the projection factors used deviate from the above table.

Partial future demand - Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service for ten-year projected demand or partially developed area conditions. Justification must be supplied if the area is already largely developed or undevelopable and thus the projection factors used deviate from the above table.

Current demand - Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service only for existing demand and conditions.

Minimal increase - Project will reduce but not eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide a minimal but less than sufficient increase in existing capacity or service for existing demand and conditions.

No increase - Project will have no effect on existing congestion or deficiencies and provide no increase in capacity or service for existing demand and conditions.

- 10) Readiness to Proceed If SCIP/LTIP funds are granted, when would the construction contract be awarded?
  - (5) Will be under contract by December 31, 2007 and no delinquent projects in Rounds 18 & 19
    - 3 Will be under contract by March 31, 2008 and/or one delinquent project in Rounds 18 & 19
    - 0 Will not be under contract by March 31, 2008 and/or more than one delinquent project in Rounds 18 & 19

### Criterion 10 - Readiness to Proceed

The Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience and status of design plans. A project is considered delinquent when it has not received a notice to proceed within the time stated on the original application and no time extension has been granted by the OPWC. An applying agency receiving approval for a project and subsequently canceling the same after the bid date on the application will receive zero (0) points under this round and the following round.

Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Consider origination and destination of traffic, functional classifications, size of service area, and number of jurisdictions served, etc.

(10) major impac	(10)	Major	Impac
------------------	------	-------	-------

Appeal Score

- 8 Significant Impact
- 6 Moderate Impact
- 4 Minor Impact
- 2 Minimal or No Impact

### Criterion 11 - Regional Impact

The regional significance of the infrastructure that is being repaired or replaced.

### Definitions:

Major Impact – Roads: Major Arterial: A direct connector to an Interstate Highway; Arterials are intended to provide a greater degree of mobility rather than land access. Arterials generally convey large traffic volumes for distances greater than one mile. A major arterial is a highway that is of regional importance and is intended to serve beyond the county. It may connect urban centers with one another and/or with outlying communities and employment or shopping centers. A major arterial is intended primarily to serve through traffic.

Significant Impact – Roads: Minor Arterial: A roadway, also serving through traffic, that is similar in function to a major arterial, but operates with lower traffic volumes, serves trips of shorter distances (but still greater than one mile), and may provide a higher degree of property access than do major arterials.

Moderate Impact – Roads: Major Collector: A roadway that provides for traffic movement between local roads/streets and arterials or community-wide activity centers and carries moderate traffic volumes over moderate distances (generally less than one mile). Major collectors may also provide direct access to abutting properties, such as regional shopping centers, large industrial parks, major subdivisions and community-wide recreational facilities, but typically not individual residences. Most major collectors are also county roads and are therefore through streets.

Minor Impact – Roads: Minor Collector: A roadway similar in functions to a major collector but which carries lower traffic volumes over shorter distances and has a higher degree of property access. Minor collectors may serve as main circulation streets within large, residential neighborhoods. Most minor collectors are also township roads and streets and may, or may not, be through streets.

Minimal or No Impact - Roads: Local: A roadway that is primarily intended to provide access to abutting properties. It tends to accommodate lower traffic volumes, serves short trips (generally within neighborhoods), and provides connections preferably only to collector streets rather than arterials.

	8 Points 6 Points 4 Points 2 Points			
	Criterion 12 – Economic Health The District 2 Integrating Committee primay periodically be adjusted when cens	redetermines the applying agency sus and other budgetary data are	y's economic health. The updated.	economic health of a jurisdiction
13)	Has any formal action by a federal, si expansion of the usage for the involve	tate, or local government agended infrastructure?	ey resulted in a partial o	or complete ban of the usage or
	10 - Complete ban, facility closed 8 - 80% reduction in legal load o 7 - Moratorium on future develo 6 - 60% reduction in legal load 5 - Moratorium on future develop 4 - 40% reduction in legal load	pment, <i>not</i> functioning for c		Appeal Score
	2-20% reduction in legal load  Description Less than 20% reduction in legal	egal load	•	
14)	Criterion 13 - Ban The applying agency shall provide doc moratorium must have been caused by project will cause the ban to be lifted.  What is the total number of existing d	a structural or operational proble	em. Points will only be a	warded if the end result of the
,	10 16,000 or more 8 - 12,000 to 15,999 6 - 8,000 to 11,999 4 - 4,000 to 7,999 2 - 3,999 and under	36,000		Appeal Score
	Criterion 14 - Users The applying agency shall provide documentation. Documeasurement of persons. Public transit unfigures are provided.	mentation may include curren	t traffic counts, househ	olds served, when converted to a
5)	Has the applying agency enacted the opertinent infrastructure? (Provide doc	ptional \$5 license plate fee, an cumentation of which fees have	infrastructure levy, a us been enacted.)	ser fee, or dedicated tax for the
	5 - Two or more of the above 3- One of the above 0 - None of the above	AS CICEN	SE E	Appeal Score
he app	on 15 – Fees, Levies, Etc.  blying agency shall document (in the "Ad the type of infrastructure being applied for	ditional Support Information" fo		levies or taxes they have dedicated
		-6-		

12)

10 Points

What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?